

Peer Review of Teaching – Policy & Procedure

Version Control

Version	History of Amendments	Date
Version 1	Final version	Dec 2016
Version 2	Revision of Version 1	Feb 2020
	Reviewed at Board of Studies B	Feb 2020
	Version 2 approved at AQSC	June 2020
	Approved at AC	June 2020
	Final version ready to be disseminated	June 2020
Version 3	Revision of Version 2	
	Approved at AQSC	
	Version 3 ratified at AC	
	Final version ready to be disseminated	
Version 4	Revision of Version 3	
	Approved at TeLSEC	
	Version 4 approved at AC	
	Final version ready to be disseminated	
Version 5	Revision of Version 4	
	Approved at TeLSEC	
	Version 5 approved at AC	
	Final version ready to be disseminated	

Introduction

1. This Policy and Procedure outlines how the College will conduct peer review of teaching and how the individuals who will be involved in reviews will be identified.

Purpose of Peer Observation

- 2. The main purpose of engaging in peer review of teaching is to:
 - Enhance ongoing personal practice;
 - Promote reflection on teaching and learning by both the person being observed and the observer;
 - Engage in reflective, constructive and analytical discussion with a peer;
 - Share good practice and allow for ongoing professional development;
 - Enhance new members of staff's teaching practice, and act as a tool for academic development review;
 - Enhance the students' learning experience through the discovery of new ideas for teaching and learning.
- 3. Unit/Module evaluation should be treated as a separate quality assurance process from peer observation which is a tool for development and enhancement of teaching practice rather than evaluating the performance of academics or a module.
- 4. Peer review will normally involve classroom observation where appropriate; this might include lectures, seminars, workshops etc., alternative methods may also be necessary (for example when teaching is undertaken by virtual methods).
- 5. Key features of peer review include:
 - The reviewer a peer uses a record sheet for note taking during the review;
 - Benefits derive from constructive feedback and self-reflection this makes post-review discussion vital;
 - If areas for change/improvement are identified, suggestions for how to bring about the change are needed (time to re-plan the session/ module; attendance at a training workshop; observing a more experienced colleague etc.);
 - Confirmation that all discussions between the academic and observer remain confidential.
- 6. Peer review may take place-
 - As part of continuing professional development;
 - As part of the probationary period: when a review of inexperienced lecturers is required;
 - As part of personal development, where individuals may seek review of their teaching from peers.
- 7. Reviews should be flexible and not adopt an audit approach.
- 8. Positive and constructive feedback is encouraged and areas of development or action points may be highlighted.

The Process

Before the observation

- 9. Frequency of peer observation may be conducted as follows:
 - Any academic staff may request a peer observation session to support personal development;
 - All new teaching staff to be reviewed in their first year of employment at the College;
 - Selective auditing should take place at the discretion of 'The Academic Registrar'.
- 10. Staff should be reviewed by an individual nominated by 'The Academic Registrar'.
- 11. The observer should have access to the unit/module's Moodle site and are provide with the Unit/module specification and/or programme handbook and any other relevant learning materials. Academics and observers should meet to agree the focus of the observation and to discuss the learning outcomes and content of the session being observed.

During the observation

- 12. The observer should arrive early, be briefly introduced to the class to assure the students they are not being evaluated in any way, and never intervene in any way in the session.
- 13. The observer should write notes during the meeting, recording their experience, see appendix 1 and appendix 2 for suggested templates.

Following the observation

- 14. The College must have a means of recording that peer reviews have taken place. Reviewees are responsible for informing 'The Academic Registrar' when observation has been completed.
- 15. Once the review is carried out (and no later than 2 weeks thereafter) the reviewer's record sheet should be returned to the member of staff being reviewed. The academic and observer should meet to discuss the observation and reflect on any issues or good practice raised.
- 16. It is the responsibility of the reviewee to reflect on the peer observation report and discuss any areas with their line manager where appropriate.
- 17. Where there are examples of good practice it is recommended this be flagged and shared with the Boards of Study and Teaching, Learning and Student Experience committee to enhance teaching practice at the College.

Appendix 1 Template for Peer Observation of Teaching

Observer	Lecturer
Unit/Module	Level
Programme	Mode of Study
	Full time, part time, distance, blended etc.
Type pf Activity	
Lecture	IT Session
2001010	
Seminar	VLE Session
Settiliai	VLE JESSIOII
Simulation .	Others [
Discussion	Other
If other, indicate type of session:	
Date of observation and duration	Number of Students
Date of observation and duration	Number of Students
Additional Information or Comments	

Appendix 2 Checklist for observation (indicative guide only)

Area of observation	Observer's comments
Learning Outcomes	
Was it made clear to the students what they	
were expected to learn by the end of the	
session (cognitive, subject-specific, practical,	
transferable, professional knowledge and	
skills)?	
Charles and the charles are the charles and the charles are th	
Structure	
Was the material well organised, so that the	
students could follow the structure or sequence adopted? Were key points clearly signposted?	
adopted: Were key points clearly signiposted:	
Leve	
Was the class pitched at a level the students	
could cope with?	
Was any provision made for those who	
experienced difficulties?	
Clarity	
Was the class clearly presented?	
Were explanatory comments readily understood by the students?	
didensiood by the students:	
Use of examples	
Were examples or illustrations used	
whenever helpful to students in grasping	
key points?	
Did these examples engage with students'	
knowledge and interests?	
Handouts and other materials	
Did the lecturer make appropriate use of	
handouts or other study materials?	
If so, were these helpful to the students in	
summarising, amplifying or	
reinforcing the material in class?	
Audio vicual aide	
Audio-visual aids Were audio-visual aids used wherever	
needed in conveying the subject matter?	
Did these promote students' understanding?	
Dia triese promote students understanding:	

Audibility	
Could the lecturer be clearly heard, even by	
students at the back and sides of the room?	
Toom:	
Pace and timing	
Was the material presented at an appropriate	
speed?	
Did the lecturer keep to time?	
Interaction	
Did the lecturer find scope for interacting with	
students e.g. by giving opportunities for	
questions or comments, or by drawing upon	
student interest, concerns or experiences?	